

Spatial Learning in Domestic Dogs: A Pilot Study on Diverse Testing Trials Rebecca Showalter, Robyn Bashaw, Rani Solomon, & Robert Polewan, Ph.D. **Department of Psychology at Stephen F. Austin State University**

INTRODUCTION

Background research

•Research has suggested that dogs are able to navigate a maze with food reinforcement, but have a low spatial memory capacity (e.g., MacPherson & Roberts, 2010).

•Specifically, dogs had lower performance when completing a Radial arm maze (RAM) when compared other animals.

•According to Craig et al. (2012), dogs completed the same RAM task but had higher performance due to fewer trials per day.

Research purpose

•There has been little research found on the effects of testing trial on spatial learning. Therefore, the current study expanded research by assessing diverse testing trials on spatial learning within dogs.

Hypothesis

•The researchers hypothesized that dogs in the long trial condition would outperform dogs in the intermediate trial condition. •Additionally, it was hypothesized that dogs in the intermediate trial

condition would outperform dogs in the control/mass trial condition.

METHOD

Subjects

•N = 12 (Males = 5; Females = 7)

Materials

•Dog demographics.

•Test stimuli: six plastic food containers with three food containers baited with a dog treat (see Apparatus).

Procedure

•The food reinforcers were placed in the same container across condition and test trial.

•During the trial, the dog was allowed to freely roam the room for 10 minutes to find the baited containers. If not found within 10 minutes, the trial was terminated.

•Once a trial was complete, the owner would walk the dog. •After the walk, the procedure was repeated until all test trials were complete.

Dependent Measures

•Higher performance was defined as more correct container choices, less wrong container choices, less repeat choices, and shorter latency to complete the task.



* indicates p < .05

CTHOD				
hoon layout of the tas	sk.			Conc • Ther differ Impli • It ma trials
Day 2TrialWalkTrialWalkComplete	Day 1 Dog Demographics Trial Walk Trial Finish	Day 2 Trial Walk Trial Finish	Day 3 Trial Walk Trial Complete	Limit •Smal •Smal •Ceilit •Dog •Odor dog tr •Odor dog tr •Futur measu •Futur measu
te trial over 2 days. N = 3 Figure 4. Long trial condition was 2 trials over 3 days. N = 3				•Craig Radia Journ
ANOVA with correct container choices as the effect of Trial, $F(5, 45) = 3.124$, $p = .017$. ANOVA with latency as the dependent measure $45 = 7.858$, $p < .001$.				•Macj (<i>Cani</i> Psych
ANOVA with wrong container choices as the effect of Trial, $F(5, 45) = 3.685$, $p = .007$.				•Steph •Owne
$f_{1} = f_{2} = f_{1} = f_{2} = f_{2} = f_{1} = f_{2} = f_{2$				BEHAVIOR NEUROSCIET • STEPHEN F. AUS



DISCUSSION

clusion

re was a significant difference in trial, but there was no rence between condition (testing trial).

ication

ay be possible that dogs do not need longer delays between s to learn the specific task.

itations

Il sample size; not enough subjects per condition.

ing effects; there is the possibility of the task being too easy. treats were not always reinforcing.

or cues in the room could be present; this could exist for both reats and other dogs.

ire Research

are research should implore a more difficult task when suring various testing trials.

are research can also look at reference memory and working ory to determine learning in the dog.

REFERENCES

ig et al. (2012). Domestic Dogs (*Canis familiaris*) and the al Arm Made: Spatial Memory and Series Position Effects. nal of Comparative Psychology, 126(3), 233-242.

cpherson, and Roberts. (2010). Spatial Memory in Dogs is familiaris) on a Radial Maze. Journal of Comparative hology, 124(1), 47-56.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

hen F. Austin State University Psi Chi Chapter ers and their dogs.

CONTACT



showaltera@titan.sfasu.edu bashawra@titan.sfasu.edu ranisolomon23@gmail.com polewanrj@sfasu.edu http://bcnlab.weebly.com

